Greater Los Angeles Integrated Regional Water Management Plan
Meeting Notes – Upper Los Angeles River Watersheds Steering Committee

Draft Meeting and Project Prioritization Workshop Minutes
January 27, 2009, 10:30 am to 3:30 pm
Glendale Water and Power, Perkins Community Room 118

Present:

Siya Araumi, LA County FCD
Debbie Bruschaber, MRT
Bekah Cooke, San Gabriel Valley COG
George De La O, LA County FCD
Joyce Dillard
Rebecca Drayse, TreePeople
Tom Erb, LADWP
Darryl Ford, City of LA Rec and Parks
Richard Gomez, LA County DPW
Mark Hanna, LADWP
Andree Hunt, Malcolm Pirnie
Morton Khaim, Office of Sen. Alex Padilla
Michael LaRussa, City of Calabasas
Vivian Marquez, City of LA Sanitation
Ed Means, Malcolm Pirnie
Andy Niknafs, LADWP
Daniel Pankali, City of Calabasas
Nancy Steele, LASGRWC
Patricia Wood, LA County DPW

Topic/Issue	Discussion	Action/Follow up
1. Introductions	Tom Erb opened the meeting with introductions.	• No Action
2. Approve 11/19/08 Meeting Minutes	The meeting minutes were approved with changes. Tom Erb provided an update on Prop 84 guidelines. The State has said that the guidelines will be released this spring, but they could be deferred.	• Consultant will update 11/19/08 meeting minutes to reflect adopted changes.
3. DAC Outreach Update	The consultant met with a set of stakeholders on each project in order to see if the projects could be developed to be competitive within timeframe. The project handouts were distributed, and Ed Means gave a presentation on each project. Comments on the Arroyo Seco confluence project included: • In order for the LA River to maintain Clean Water Act status, commerce on the river will need to be addressed. The project description for the Arroyo Seco confluence project should state that there is a related issue with the LA River and navigability. • This project needs to be added to the database. Comments on the Hansen Dam Walnut Woodlands Restoration project	• The consultant will send the project handouts to meeting invitees to obtain their input and will put together a concept implementation report for what would need to be done to move each project forward.

Topic/Issue	Discussion	Action/Follow up
	included: • The Army Corps has a 1999 study regarding water supply benefits of the Hansen Dam project. • Parks and Recreation leases this land from Army Corps. There is a Hansen Dam Master Plan that was developed by Parks and the Army Corps from 1991/92. Other comments included: • Some projects made it to the stimulus proposal (sponsored by Villaraigosa through his lobbyist Jim Clark) that may not be in the IRWMP database The Region could get $1-2M of DAC funds optimistically. This funding would probably be used for feasibility studies but could be used for implementation.	
4. Review Project Scoring	The consultant provided an overview of prioritization process. The goal of the prioritization process is to identify a few top projects that are ready to proceed to take to the LC in the March/April timeframe. There is a large window of uncertainty with the State, but the Region would like to be prepared when funding becomes available. If the Region receives the maximum amount of money it is eligible for and this money is divided equally between the sub-regions, each sub-region will receive $4-5M. The SC will need to determine how much money should go to each project. Non-Capital projects are not applicable to Prop 84 IRWMP funding, except for DAC funds. Readiness to proceed will need to be a key consideration in the prioritization process. Selected projects will need to be sufficiently developed for a grant application in Summer 2009. Discussion included: • The Region needs to discuss the definition of "conservation" going forward. LADWP defines conservation as demand-side reduction. The LA County	• No Action

Topic/Issue	Discussion	Action/Follow up
	FCD defines conservation as the capture of water that would otherwise be lost. • Projects with multiple benefits tend to rise to top. • Columns with matching funds and project cost should be added to the project list. • Rather than changing the weighting for different categories of prioritization, the consultant should sort out those projects that qualify in a particular category. • The SC should determine whether any projects were updated following the integration exercise. • For the first round of Prop 84 funding, DAC projects are being selected from top down process due to time. • Land acquisition projects are probably not allowed under Prop 84.	
5. Project Presentations	The spreadsheet of prioritization projects was distributed, and proponents of the top 50 projects were asked to give brief presentations addressing project cost and readiness to proceed. The updated project spreadsheet and project descriptions will be distributed to the SC. The consultant will draft an e-mail inviting the project proponents that were not present at the workshop to give presentations at the February SC meeting. The proponents will be asked to address readiness to proceed, project cost, and matching funds.	• The consultant will draft an e-mail inviting project proponents to present at the February SC meeting.
6. Regional Acceptance	The State is requiring a regional acceptance process is order for each region to qualify as an IRWMP region. A formal delineation of what is required is expected within two weeks, and once this is received the Region will need to put together a submittal within 30 days. DWR will have interviews within 8 days of the receipt of the application. The purpose of this process is to minimize the number of discreet IRWMPs	• No Action

Topic/Issue	Discussion	Action/Follow up
	seeking funding. The Region submitted comments to DWR that the acceptance process should be simplified for established Regions that have already received funding. DWR is considering having Prop 84 planning and implementation grants available concurrently.	
7. Leadership Committee-Discuss Draft Agenda Items and Provide Direction to Chair	The LC will be discussing how much funding to apply for in Round 1 and how the funding should be split between the SCs. Discussion included: • Having money split equally b/t sub-regions wouldn't look favorable for the Region and wouldn't take into account DACs. A region-wide filter of the projects put forward by each SC may be needed to make sure the Region's projects are consistent with the overall goals of the IRWMP. • Decision-making should not be taken away from the sub-regions. • Setting a financial allocation for each sub-region is not in the spirit of identifying the best projects for the Region. Regarding whether the SC should have strict control over project selection, the consensus of the SC was that funding should go to the best projects in the Region. The LC could make a recommendation on project selection, and the decision could then go back to the SCs for approval. Nancy Steele will advocate this at the LC. The Region gave a proposal to Annenberg Foundation a year ago. The Foundation turned down the proposal but said they will consider an alternate proposal for grassroots DAC outreach. The SC discussed making a recommendation to the LC to draft a letter to the Governor regarding "freeze of funds" for IRWM projects.	• Nancy Steele will advocate that funding should go to the best projects in the Region at the LC meeting.
8. Next Meetings	The next Leadership Committee meeting will be January 28, 2009 at 9:30 am, at LACFCD, 12th floor.	• No Action

Topic/Issue	Discussion	Action/Follow up
	The SC meeting schedule is as follows: • February 24, 2009 from 1:30 pm to 3:30 pm at LADWP. • March 24, 2009 from 1:30 pm to 3:30 pm at LADWP. • April 21, 2009 from 1:30 pm to 3:30 pm at LADWP.	

REGION ACCEPTANCE PROCESS
A COMPONENT OF THE INTEGRATED REGIONAL WATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM GUIDELINES

Purpose

This document is a component of the Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) Program Guidelines. It presents the California Department of Water Resources' (DWR) Region Acceptance Process (RAP) that will be used to evaluate and accept an IRWM region into the IRWM grant program, California Water Code (CWC) §10541(f) (effective March 1, 2009). Acceptance and approval of the composition of an IRWM region into the IRWM grant program will be required before any region can submit an application for IRWM grant funds. DWR has not previously reviewed and accepted any region, therefore, this process applies to all IRWM regions, both existing and developing. DWR will conduct the RAP on, at least, an annual basis. Timing of the annual RAP review may be coordinated with any upcoming grant solicitation cycle. This opportunity will be given again to those regions that could not apply or were not approved the first time.

Background

Since the inception of the IRWM grant program, DWR has encouraged and supported the formation of self-determined IRWM regions. However, effective guidance in IRWM region development has been challenging, because there is no single physical size, organizational structure, or governance definition that applies uniformly to all areas in the state. IRWM regions are dynamic and evolving and as IRWM regions change, it is important that those changes be understood at local and state levels and that the changes work toward the goals of better regional management.

In September 2008, SB 1 (Perata, Stats. 2008, Ch. 1; eff. March 1, 2009) was signed by Governor Schwarzenegger. SB1 contains the "Integrated Regional Water Management Planning Act", CWC §10530 *et seq*. The IRWM Planning Act provides a general definition of an IRWM plan as well as guidance to DWR as to what IRWM program guidelines must contain. CWC §10541(f) states that the guidelines shall include standards for identifying a region for the purposes of developing or modifying an IRWM plan. This section also directs DWR to develop a process to approve the composition of the region for the purposes of Proposition 84 IRWM Program. At a minimum, a region is defined as a contiguous geographic area encompassing the service areas of multiple local agencies; is defined to maximize the opportunities to integrate water management activities; and effectively integrates water management programs and projects within a hydrologic region defined in the California Water Plan, the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) region, or subdivision or other region specifically identified by DWR (Public Resource Code §75026.(b)(1)).

Equally important to the region boundary is how the IRWM region develops and implements its governance structure and stakeholder involvement functions. A Regional Water Management Group (RWMG) is a group of three or more local agencies, at least two of which have statutory authority over water supply or management, as well as those other persons necessary for the development and implementation of a plan (CWC §10539). This definition acknowledges multiple perspectives on water management and requires collaborative involvement of multiple

stakeholders. The governance structure must outline the roles and responsibilities of the governing body, including how decisions are made within the region. DWR will not mandate a specific governance structure; however, certain general governance structure and processes must be addressed. Through the RAP, DWR seeks to meet with the RWMGs to:

1. Understand the challenges the RWMGs face in defining regions and their functions;
2. Provide the state's perspective on their specific region;
3. Give clear direction on to developing regional efforts on IRWM region boundaries;
4. Establish a mechanism for the RWMG and state to communicate as the region evolves; and
5. Comply with CWC §10541(f).

IRWM Region Description

An IRWM region is not based solely on geographic considerations or characteristics. It is also defined by water management issues, its stakeholders, and water-related conflicts. An IRWM region must be designed or configured to diversify and strengthen the regional water management portfolio.

While there is no quantitative definition of a region (such as a certain number of acres), it is possible to define the region too narrowly in terms of geography, participants, water resources, water management strategies, and water management objectives. A narrowly defined region would limit opportunities to integrate water management strategies or diversify a region's water management portfolio.

The IRWM region must consider the broad variety of the water systems being managed in the planning area, including:

- Water supply;
- Water quality;
- Environmental stewardship;
- Flood management;
- Drought preparedness;
- Wastewater treatment;
- Watershed management;
- Recycled water;
- Groundwater management;
- Land use;
- Natural habitat and conservation;
- Conjunctive use; and
- Emphasis on reduced dependence on imported water.

IRWM Region Characteristics

Functional, successful regions will typically be composed of numerous, diverse stakeholders that manage, direct, or are involved in processes that influence regional water management.

Desirable Characteristics of an IRWM Region

The following is a listing of some of the desirable characteristics of an IRWM Region that DWR will continue to encourage.

- The IRWM region is the largest defined contiguous geographic area encompassing the service areas of multiple local agencies, and it is defined to maximize opportunities to integrate water management activities related to natural and man-made water system(s), including water supply reliability, water quality, environmental stewardship; and flood management.
- The IRWM region is inclusive and utilizes a collaborative, multi-stakeholder process that provides mechanisms to assist disadvantaged communities (DAC); address water management issues; and develop integrated, multi-benefit, regional solutions that incorporate environmental stewardship to implement the IRWM plan.
- The IRWM region encompasses a water system containing natural and man-made components with diverse water management issues that are included in a single collaborative water management portfolio, prioritized on regional goals and objectives.
- The IRWM region should demonstrate a reasonable and effective governance structure for developing and implementing its IRWM plan.

Undesirable Characteristics of an IRWM Region

The following is a summary of some of the undesirable characteristics of an IRWM Region that DWR does not encourage.

- Multiple IRWM regions in the same geographic area all planning to manage the same water system.
- A region that is solely defined by a jurisdictional boundary, county line, or other geopolitical boundary, without consideration of watershed boundaries or physical location of water resources and infrastructure.
- A region that is formed for the sole purpose of seeking short-term grant funds rather than to sustain a long-term regional planning effort to ensure water supply reliability, water quality, environmental stewardship, and flood management.
- A region that is project driven where existing projects are the primary focus and collaborative integrated regional planning and management is secondary.
- A region where the boundaries tend to exclude rather than include other water management entities and stakeholders.

Who Should Submit?

Any RWMG should submit RAP materials if it anticipates applying for grant funding from DWR's IRWM grant program which includes funding from Proposition 84 IRWM funds, Proposition 1E stormwater flood management funds, or other IRWM funds that may be available in the future. The requested information should be submitted by a local agency or non-profit organization.

What to Submit

The RWMG shall submit RAP materials in the form of written text, maps, figures, and tables that thoroughly demonstrate that the IRWM region is the most comprehensive, contiguous area defined by common water management issues related to the water system(s) both natural and man-made, including water supply, water quality, environmental stewardship, and flood management.

DWR understands that some regions may be in the initial developmental process and other regions may have more fully developed IRWM planning efforts. A developing IRWM region and an established region may have differing abilities to provide information about their IRWM region. In these cases, the developing region may only be able to provide a conceptual discussion and limited supporting information regarding the composition of the IRWM region. The RAP materials must provide the information necessary to justify and support the proposed region boundary. Use of pre-existing documents is encouraged and the RWMG may extract the relevant information into the RAP materials. The RAP materials should be a stand-alone document that thoroughly supports the basis for the proposed region boundary.

Table 1 lists and describes the items RWMG must submit for the RAP. Corresponding reviewer information is also provided to clarify how the submittal material will be evaluated. See Table 1.

IRWM RAP Review Steps

The following flow diagram provides an overview of the RWMG submittal and acceptance process:



Step 1 – Submission of RAP material

RWMG submits materials to DWR, as described in "What to Submit" Section.

Step 2 – DWR reviews RAP material

DWR will review the RAP material and make one of the following determinations:

1. **Application Not Recommended.** The information presented does not meet basic eligibility requirements to reasonably support the concepts and basis for the proposed IRWM Region Boundary. The agencies in this category will not be invited to the region acceptance process interview.
2. **Application Recommended.** DWR will notify the applicant and schedule an initial applicant interview with the RWMG. DWR will prepare a list of questions or discussion points regarding the questionnaire responses. An email with the questions/discussion points will be sent to the point-of-contact listed in Question 1. DWR may request minor revisions or clarification or submittal of additional material for the RAP interview (discussed in Step 3). The email will also provide the time and location of the interview.

Step 3 – Interviews

The RWMG will have an opportunity to discuss the RAP material with DWR representatives during a scheduled interview period. DWR will have an opportunity to ask questions and seek clarification. The purpose of the interview is to provide DWR with answers to questions raised during the review process. Representatives of the State Water Resources Control Board, the appropriate Regional Water Quality Control Board, or other interested state agencies may participate in the interviews. The applicant will be allowed a limited number of representatives to participate in the RAP interview.

At the end of Step 3, draft recommendations for the RWMGs that submitted RAP materials will be posted on the DWR website (list below, in "IRWM Grant Program Website") and a news release and email announcement will be issued.

Step 4 – Public comment period

Before making a final decision, DWR will provide a public comment period, which includes a public meeting to consider public comments. Based on the public comments received and consultation with reviewers, DWR will make one of the following recommendations to the DWR Director:

1. **Region Not Accepted.** The information provided in the RAP materials and the interview does not reasonably support the concepts and basis for the IRWM region boundary;
2. **Region Accepted.** The information provided in the RAP materials and the interview reasonably support the IRWM region boundary.
3. **Region Conditionally Accepted.** In some regions where information on the exact region boundaries may not be complete, it may be necessary for the RWMG to

coordinate with stakeholders on the conceptual vision for the region boundary. In these cases, DWR may issue a conditional region approval to allow the applicant an opportunity to coordinate with stakeholders in an effort to finalize the region boundaries and submit to DWR for review and approval. In this case, the applicant would re-enter the process at Step 3. Due to the RAP schedule, the RWGM may need to wait until the next cycle of the RAP review to be able to submit an application for IRWM grant funding.

4. **Other Action.** DWR make may other recommendations as necessary to address specific concerns with an individual IRWM region or a group of IRWM regions.

Following consideration of public comments, the Director of DWR will issue the final RAP decisions which will be announced in a news release; posted on the IRWM website, along with an updated map of IRWM regions; and emailed to the IRWM distribution list.

Timeline

The estimated schedule for the 2009 Expedited RAP is presented below:

Issue draft RAP guidelines and provide 30-Day public comment period	Dec 22, 2008
RAP Public Meeting: Northern and Southern California	*January 2009*[1)]
Consider public comment and issue final RAP guidelines	*January 2009*
RWMG's prepare RAP materials (approximately 30 days)	*Jan – Feb 2009*
RAP materials due	*February 2009*
DWR meetings and interviews with RWMGs (approximately 14 days)	*March 2009*
Release draft RAP recommendations	*April 2009*
Public comment period on draft RAP recommendations (at least 15 days)	*April 2009*
DWR's final RAP decisions	*April 2009*

1) *Italics* denote tentative dates.

When and How to Submit

Applications are due on <date> at 5:00PM Pacific Time. Submit three (3) hardcopies and five (5) electronic copies in MS Word on five (5) CDs of the material listed in Table 1. In addition, if necessary provide the map(s) on a separate CD with UTM Zone 10, NAD 27 format. All of the RAP materials above must be sent or delivered to one of the following addresses:

Mailing Address

State of California
Department of Water Resources
Division of Planning and Local Assistance
Attn. Ralph Svetich
Post Office Box 942836
Sacramento, California 94236-0001

Courier Address

State of California
Department of Water Resources
Division of Planning and Local Assistance
Attn. Ralph Svetich
901 P St.
Sacramento, California 95814

Mailing List

In addition to the website referenced below, DWR will distribute information via e-mail. If you are not already on the IRWM contact list and wish to be placed on it, please e-mail your contact information to: DWR_IRWM@water.ca.gov

IRWM Grant Program Websites

DWR will use the Internet to notify interested parties of the status of this proposal process and to convey pertinent information. Information will be posted at the following website:
http://www.grantsloans.water.ca.gov/grants/integregio.cfm

Point of Contact

For questions about the Guidelines, please contact Norman Shopay at (916) 651-9218, nshopay@water.ca.gov.

Review Guidance

The review of RAP materials will be primarily based on information provided in the submittal and the interview. However, the reviewers' knowledge of the IRWM region and the funding area will be critical in determining if regions meet the desired characteristics of an IRWM region. If specific information is not presented in the RAP materials, the review team should identify needed additional materials for the RAP interview. Table 1, below, provides guidance and direction to the review team on how and what to consider during the RAP review effort.

Eligibility

As part of the RAP review, DWR will determine if the RWMG meets basic fundamental eligibility requirements. DWR will review whether the RWMG composed of three or more local agencies, at least two of which have statutory authority over water supply or management, as well as those other persons necessary for the development and implementation of a plan.

Table 1 – Submittal Materials and Reviewer Information

NO.	WHAT TO SUBMIT	REVIEWER INFORMATION
1	Information on the submitting entity including why the RWMG has selected the entity to submit the RAP materials. Include contact information (name, address, phone, fax, and email) of the person whom DWR should coordinate.	Ensure that contact information was provided. Is it clear that the submitting agency has been given permission to submit on behalf of the RWMG.
2	A description of the composition of the RWMG. Identify RWMG members, including their role in the RWMG process, regional water management responsibilities, and the level of IRWM participation. For each entity, state if they have adopted plan to adopt, or will not adopt the IRWM plan. Provide a listing of the local agencies with statutory authority over water supply or water management, and the basis and nature of that statutory authority. For the purposes of this document "statutory authority over water supply or water management" may include, but is not limited to, water supply, water quality management, wastewater treatment, flood management/control, or storm water management. Provide a listing of the other participants such as agencies, stakeholders, and others included in the RWMG and their role in developing and implementing the IRWM Plan. List and describe the working relationship of identified agencies and stakeholders per CWC §10541.(g), which may include: • Wholesale and retail water purveyors; including a local agency, mutual water company, or a water corporation as defined by Section 241 of the Public Utilities Code; • Wastewater agencies; • Flood management agencies; • Municipal and county governments and special districts; • Electrical corporation, as defined in Section 218 of the Public Utilities Code; • Native American Tribes that have lands within the region; • Land use authorities; • Watermaster for adjudicated surface water or groundwater basins; • Self-supplied water users, including agricultural, industrial, residential and park districts, school districts, colleges and universities, and others; • Environmental stewardship organizations including watershed groups, fishing groups, land conservancies, and environmental groups; • Community organizations, including land owner organizations, taxpayer groups, and recreational interests; • Industry organizations representing agriculture, developers, and other industries appropriate to the region; • State, federal, and regional agencies or universities that have specific responsibilities or knowledge within the region; • Members and representatives of disadvantaged communities, including environmental justice organizations, neighborhood councils, and social justice organizations; and • Any other interested groups appropriate to the region. Descriptions of working relationship may include but is not limited to information regarding the sharing of information, shared infrastructure, or competing interests.	Does the submittal list and discuss the role of the RWMG members and water management stakeholders that have agreed to participate in this process? Have the necessary RWMG members indicated they have or will adopt the completed IRWM plan? Do the RWMG members identified represent the majority of the water management authorities and stakeholders within the region boundary? Are there any entities known to have an interest in the area that have not been listed? Do you understand for each member whether they have statutory authority over water management, their participation in IRWM planning and implementation, and their local and regional interests in water management and planning? Do the members and groups appear to have good working relationships? Do they exchange information on water management issues? Do they share any facilities or infrastructure? Are there any competing interests or conflicting policies among the members that may affect integrated water planning and management?

3	A description of how stakeholders, including DACs, are identified and invited to participate. List the procedures, processes, or structures that promote access to and collaboration with people or agencies with diverse views within the region. Discuss how the outreach efforts address the diversity of water management issues, geographical representation, and stakeholder interests in the region. Explain how the IRWM region is inclusive and utilizes a collaborative, multi-stakeholder process that provides mechanisms to assist DAC; address water management issues; and develop integrated, multi-benefit, regional solutions that incorporate environmental stewardship to implement future IRWM plans.	Does the list of stakeholders appear to be inclusive? Are DACs given an opportunity to participate? Does it appear that the RWMG includes stakeholders, including DACs, in its planning process and implementation? Do stakeholder outreach efforts promote participation of broad-based water planning and management interests in the region? Do the listed stakeholders provide a balanced representation of the water issues in the region? Does the submittal describe how stakeholders, including DACs, are identified and invited to participate? Are the procedures, processes, or structures that promote access to and collaboration with people or agencies with diverse views within the region listed and discussed? Does it appear that the IRWM region is inclusive and utilizes a collaborative, multi-stakeholder process that provides mechanisms to assist DAC and address water management issues? Will this result in the development of integrated, multi-benefit, regional solutions that incorporate environmental stewardship to implement the IRWM plan?
4	A description of the process being used that makes the public both part of and aware of the regional management and IRWM efforts. Discuss ways for the public to gain access to the RWMG and IRWM process for information and provide input.	Does the RWMG allow the public to participate in regular meetings? Is there an established method of posting meeting agendas, notices, and minutes? Are they posted with sufficient lead time for the public to participate in meetings? Is it clear who the public should contact within the RWMG if they have questions regarding regional water management efforts or IRWM planning and implementation in the region? Are there public meetings held to solicit public comments ahead of major decisions to be made by the RWMG? What is the process for the public to provide input to RWMG on regional water management and/or IRWMP? And what is the process being used by the RWMG to evaluate and respond to that input?
5	A description of the RWMG governance structure and how it will facilitate the sustained development of regional water management and the IRWM process, both now and beyond the state grant IRWM funding programs. Discuss how decisions are made. Identify the steps in which RWMG arrives at decisions and how RWMG members participate in the decision-making process. Examples of RWMG decisions to consider in discussion: • Establishing IRWM plan goals and objectives • Prioritizing projects • Financing RWMG and IRWMP activities • Implementing plan activities • Making future revisions to the IRWM plan • Hiring & managing consultants Describe how the RWMG will incorporate new members into the governance structure. Explain the manner in which a balance of interested persons or entities representing different sectors and interests have been or will be engaged in the process, regardless of their ability to contribute financially to the plan. Describe how the governance structure facilitates development of a single collaborative water management portfolio, prioritized on the regional goals and objectives of the IRWM region.	Are the roles and responsibilities of the RWMG clearly supportive of regional planning? Does the RWMG operate in a collaborative manner? Is it clear how decisions are made, including establishing plan goals and objectives, prioritizing projects, financing RWMG activities, implementing plan activities, and making future revisions to the IRWM plan? Who participates in the decision making process? Are all of the RWMG members involved or are there designated committees? Does the governance structure allow only certain members to vote on decisions? Does the decision making process allow for the participation of stakeholders and smaller entities? Do members have to contribute financially to the RWMG to be allowed to vote? Can the RWMG governance structure facilitate the sustained development of the IRWM region now and beyond the current IRWM funding programs? Does the group require members to contribute to the group's expenses, and if not, how will the group identify a budget for its operations, such as plan updates. Will the governance structure facilitates development of a single collaborative water management portfolio, prioritized on the regional goals and objectives of the IRWM region?

6	Present the IRWM regional boundary. Indicate in the submittal which boundaries are included and if/how they affect the determination of the region boundary: • Political/jurisdictional boundaries; • Water, conservation, irrigation, and flood district boundaries; • Watershed management areas; • Groundwater basins as defined in DWR Bulletin 118, Update 2003 – California's Groundwater; • RWQCB boundaries • Floodplain maps (i.e. FEMA/Corps of Engineers); • Physical, topographical, geographical and biological features; • Surface water bodies; • Major water related infrastructure; • Impaired water bodies; • Population; • Biological significant units or other biological features (critical habitat areas); and • Disadvantaged communities with median household income demographics Explain how the IRWM region encompasses the service areas of multiple local agencies and will maximize opportunities to integrate water management activities related to natural and man-made water systems, including water supply reliability, water quality, environmental stewardship, and flood management. On a CD, provide map(s) that present the regional boundaries in UTM Zone 10, NAD 27 format, including the above information, if applicable.	Does it appear that the IRWM region boundary was based solely on political boundaries? Is it clear what is the basis and rationale for the IRWM region boundary? Does it make sense for long term water management? Does the IRWM region boundary consider multiple water management boundaries such as watershed and groundwater basins? Does the region boundary appear appropriate given the context of the region's unique water management issues? Does the IRWM region encompass the service areas of multiple local agencies? Does it appear that the IRWM region is structured to maximize opportunities to integrate water management activities related to natural and man-made water systems, including water supply reliability, water quality, environmental stewardship, and flood management?
7	A description of the history of IRWM efforts in the region. Describe how the region boundary relates to the current water resources and historic water management issues in the region? A description of the regional water management issues, and conflicts in the region. Issues and conflicts may relate to water supply, water quality, flood management, environmental stewardship, imported water, waste water, conjunctive use, etc. Also describe efforts to develop multi-benefit integrated programs and projects that meet regional priorities. A description of the water related components of the region. The submittal must consider two different types of components, the physical components and the groups that manage or have input to those components. Physical components of a water system include natural and man made infrastructure. Some of the components we expect to see include are watersheds, surface water impoundments, ground water basins, water collection systems, distribution systems, wastewater systems, flood water systems, and recharge facilities. The submittal should explain how water arrives in the region, how it is used, and how it is handled after it is used.	Is it clear how the history of water management in the region affects the boundaries that exist in the region and how it shapes the water management issues facing the region today? How has water conflict been resolved in the region? Have there been established water management groups that collaborated to resolve these differences? Is the RWMG associated with these groups? Does the submittal provide a comprehensive understanding of the water resources available to the region and provide context to the region's water management challenges today and into the future? Does it appear that multi-benefit, integrated, programs and projects will be developed to meet regional priorities? Are the extent and conditions of the water infrastructure in the region well understood? Is it clear where the critical components of the water system reside and the parties responsible to manage and maintain them historically? When were they put into service and are there capital improvement plans to repair or replace them in the near future? Does the described system omit any obvious water-related components such as watersheds, surface water impoundments, ground water basins, water collection systems, distribution systems wastewater systems, flood water systems, or recharge facilities?

8	A description of the IRWM region's relationship and coordination with adjacent existing or developing IRWM regions. Identify any overlapping areas and explain the basis for the overlap. Discuss whether there is a clear relationship and acknowledgement by both regions that the overlap is acceptable. Explain whether the regional boundary will leave any uncovered or void areas immediately outside or within the boundary. Describe any areas within the region that are excluded or create a void area and explain why this is reasonable and appropriate. Are there distinct water management differences between adjacent or overlapping IRWM regions and the proposed IRWM region to support being separate IRWM regions?	It is important to note that not only do the region boundaries need to make sense from hydrological, water system, and water issue perspectives; but we also need to consider a broader view of how all the IRWM boundaries fit together to achieve benefits statewide. Consider the shape of the IRWM; and how it relates to other regions nearby. Determine if the RWMG has successfully managed overlaps or gaps within and outside of the region boundary. If there are overlapping IRWM regions, is there a clearly defined relationship between the IRWM planning regions? Are there indications the overlapping regions have discussed their water management issues and coordinated on activities occurring in overlapping areas? Is there sound reasoning for having more than one RWMG planning water management issues for the same area? Are there distinct water management differences between adjacent or overlapping IRWM regions and the proposed IRWM region to support being separate IRWM regions? Does the submittal describe any areas within the region that are excluded or create a void area and explain why this is reasonable and appropriate? Has the boundary been drawn so that the region leaves uncovered or void areas within the region or immediately outside the boundary? Will the region boundary create a planning gap in the region? Are there overlaps, gaps, or holes in the region coverage that do not seem to make sense?

ProjectId	ProjectTitle	Agency
1292	Boulevard Pit Stormwater Capture Project	LADWP
12965	Tujunga Spreading Grounds Enhancement Project	LADWP
500	Valley Generating Station Stormwater Recharge Project	LADWP
418	Hahamongna Basin Multi-Use Project	Arroyo Seco Foundation
478	Pasadena Lower Arroyo Stream Restoration	Arroyo Seco Foundation
5121	Central Los Angeles County - Regional Water Recycling Program	Glendale Water and Power
436	Arroyo Seco Channel and Park Naturalization	Arroyo Seco Foundation
467	North Branch Stream Daylighting	Arroyo Seco Foundation
426	Hansen Spreading Grounds Basin Improvements	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
1329	Hansen Dam Grasslansd/Walnut Woodland Restoration Raptor Hunting Ground	LA Trails Project
484	San Gabriel Foothills Land Conservation (West Altadena)	Altadena Foothills Conservancy
1305	Haines Debris Basin Habitat Restoration	LA Trails Project
246	Sun Valley Watershed - Tujunga Wash Diversion Project	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
481	Sun Valley Powerline Easement Groundwater Recharge Project	LADWP
1893	Brownâ€™s Canyon Wash at Plummer and Variel	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority
1925	Aliso and Limekiln Creeks at Vanalden	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority
408	Crescenta Valley County Park Multiuse Project	Crescenta Valley Water District
212	Brookside Area Channel Naturalization	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
471	Pacoima Spreading Grounds Improvements	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
1890	Brown's Canyon Wash at Route 118 and Rinaldi	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority
495	Woodman Ave. Multi-Beneficial Stormwater Capture Project	LADWP
1308	Headwaters Corner at Calabasas	City of Calabasas and Mountains Restoration Trust
1922	Santa Susana Creek at MTA Corridor on Canoga Avenue	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority
230	Lower Arroyo Park Channel Naturalization	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
494	Tujunga Spreading Grounds Intake and Basin Improvements	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
1774	Community Native Plant Rescue Nursery	Ricky Grubb

245	Sun Valley Watershed - Strathern Pit Multiuse	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
1285	Millard Creek Protection/Restoration	Altadena Foothills Conservancy
8816	Urban Interpreters for Environmental Education Program	Resource Conservation Distirct of the Santa Monica Mountains
228	Los Angeles River Headwaters, Phase I	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
1482	Reclamation Equalization Basin	City of Burbank
1923	Arroyo Calabasas at Fallbrook and Hatteras	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority
1924	Arroyo Calabasas at Ventura Boulevard	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority
1926	Aliso Canyon and Los Angeles River Confluence	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority
1931	Bell Creek Riverfront Natural Park	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority
1932	Lederer Ranch	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority
13692	San Gabriel Foothills Land Conservation (Chaney Trail to Canon)	Altadena Foothills Conservancy
10211	SC LA River Open Space	City of Los Angeles
1898	Santa Susana Creek at Topanga Canyon and Plummer	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority
258	Tujunga Wash Restoration Project Section 1135	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
1315	Equiestrian Facilities BMP Education Outreach	LA Trails Project
133	Big Tujunga Dam â€“ San Fernando Basin Groundwater Enhancement Project	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
5463	Devil's Gate Water Conservation Project	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
13336	Upper Arroyo Seco Stream Sustainability Project	Arroyo Seco Foundation
493	Confluence Gateway Greenway Program	Arroyo Seco Foundation
1481	Groundwater Replenishment Project	City of Burbank
3530	Cesar Chavez Recreation Complex	City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works
8637	Taylor Yard River Park -Parcel G-2	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
1483	Valhalla System Extension	City of Burbank
4395	Echo Park Lake Rehabilitation	City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works
479	Pasadena Reclaimed Water Supply	City of Pasadena
243	Sun Valley Middle School Multiuse	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
1487	Studio District	City of Burbank
6992	Runoff Remediation Program	Pierce College
202	Sun Valley Residential Retrofit	LASGR Watershed Council, City of LA WPD
1218	SGVMWD - Raymond Basin Feeder	SGVMWD, Cities of Alhambra and Sierra Madre
8573	River Glen Wetlands and River Glen River Park	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
9967	Albion Dairy Park	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering

5455	Lopez Spreading Grounds Improvements	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
9910	7th to Olympic Boulevard River Park	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
227	Los Angeles River Headwaters, Phase 2	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
399	Arroyo Seco Park Greenway Project	Arroyo Seco Foundation, City of South Pasadena, City of LA, County of LA
1489	Wildwood Canyon Park	City of Burbank
5673	Citywide Smart Irrigation Controller Replacement	City of Calabasas
8445	Encino Velodrome Wetlands Park	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
14172	Regional Open Space Plan	Los Angeles County Department of Parks and Recreation
7392	"Pashanga" Tataviam Park- Pacoima Wash	Tataviam
7995	First to Sixth Street Greenway	City of Los Angeles
14283	Loma Alta County Multibenefit Project	Los Angeles County Department of Parks and Recreation
233	Nichols SPS Enhancement	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
8514	Hjelte to Dam Wetlands Park	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
10269	PHASE 1 - Central Los Angeles County - Regional Water Recycling Program	Glendale Water and Power
4677	Sepulveda Spillway Park	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
11552	Big Tujunga	Sunland Tujunga Neighborhood Council
8463	Sepulveda Basin Sports Complex	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
8699	Hjelte Fields Expansion	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
4811	Bull Creek Water Conservation Project	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
8086	L.A. River Greenway Phase II	City of Los Angeles
12412	Boyle Heights River Gateway Park	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
8092	First Street (Robert F. Kennedy Drive) Park	Cit of San Fernando Public Works
9978	Crown Coach Riverway	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
12438	River Promenade (Chinatown/Cornfields Opp. Promenade)	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
762	Invasive Plant Control in Riparian Habitat of Los Angeles Basin	LASGR Watershed Council
7747	Canoga Park Greenway	City of Los Angeles
7928	Ellenbogen St Swale and Sidewalk	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council
1488	Robert Ovrum Park	City of Burbank
9881	Center Street Riverway Park	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
427	Hansen Spreading Grounds Intake and Telemetry Improvements	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
9960	Studio City Golf and Tennis Club	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
274	Big Tujunga Dam Spillway Dam	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
1289	Pacoima Reservoir â€“ Sediment Removal	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
8247	Sunnynook River Park	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
3606	Cabrito Paseo Walkway/Bike Path	City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works
9955	Variel Avenue Park	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
13083	Reseda Park Greenway & River Park Buffer	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
1551	Oâ€™Melveny Park/Bee Canyon Park Stream Ecosystem Restoration	City of Los Angeles; Dept. of Recreation and Parks

1556	Sepulveda Basin-Encino & Bull Creeks & Haskell & Havenhurst Channels Rest.	City of Los Angeles; Dept. of Recreation and Parks
1558	Taylor Yard Riverfront Park	City of Los Angeles; Dept. of Recreation and Parks
1741	Railroad ROW Improvement	The River Project
1742	Primary Street Improvement Project: San Fernando Road, Woodman Ave, Victory	The River Project
1746	Tujunga Wash Bridge Retrofit and channel expansion	The River Project
1747	Pacoima Wash Bridge Retrofit and channel expansion	The River Project
11792	GROUNDWATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT STUDY	Los Angeles Department of Water & Power
12405	Sunnynook River Loop	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
1857	Upper Los Angeles River Flood Control	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Sanitation
12441	Tujunga Wash Confluence Greenway Connector	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
12453	River Origin Park	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
13007	Woodman to Whitsett River Greenway (River's north side)	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
13027	Ricer Archway Park	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
13030	Rio Vista Eco-technology Campus	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
13047	7th Street River Park	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
13056	Sears Site	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
13075	Silver Lake Paseo (Primary Local Green Street)	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
13078	Taylor Yard Bowtie Projects (e.g., Edward Way & Railway Portal)	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
13088	Metro Rail Cap Park	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
13094	Sepulveda Basin Sports Complex Riparian Buffer	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
12378	Arroyo Seco Confluence Park	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
12385	Marsh Park Expansion	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
4151	The Los Angels Zoo Parking Lot	City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works
12448	Aliso Creek Confluence Park	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
12456	134 Freeway to Colorado Greenway Promenade	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
12461	North Atwater Greenway- Colorado to Los Feliz	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
12464	Weddington Park River Buffer & Promenade	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
13010	Lankershim/Cahuenga to Headworks River Greenway	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
13022	Pickleworks River Market & Park	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
1298	Recommendation and Implementation Blueprint: groundwater recharge	Mountains Restoration Trust
12160	Tujunga Well Treatment Study Project	LADWP
1525	Central City/ Elysian Park	LADWP

1540	Stormwater Upgrades at Recreation & Parks Central Service Yard (CSY)	City of Los Angeles; Dept. of Recreation and Parks
1544	Environmental Mgmt. of Equestrian Operations â€“ Griffith Park Pony Ride	City of Los Angeles; Dept. of Recreation and Parks
1545	Environmental Mgmt. of Equestrian Operations â€“ Hansen Dam Equestrian Center	City of Los Angeles; Dept. of Recreation and Parks
1546	Golf Course BMPs â€” Hansen Dam Golf Course	City of Los Angeles; Dept. of Recreation and Parks
1547	Hollenbeck Park Lake Rehabilitation Project	City of Los Angeles; Dept. of Recreation and Parks
1548	Environmental Mgmt. of Equestrian Operations â€“ LA Equestrian Center (LAEC)	City of Los Angeles; Dept. of Recreation and Parks
1550	Mid Valley Senior Citizen Center	City of Los Angeles; Dept. of Recreation and Parks
1552	Orcutt Ranch Parkâ€”Dayton Creek Ecosystem Restoration	City of Los Angeles; Dept. of Recreation and Parks
1553	Asphalt Plant at Pacoima Wash	City of Los Angeles; Dept. of Recreation and Parks
1554	Reseda Lake Rehabilitation Project	City of Los Angeles; Dept. of Recreation and Parks
1743	CBS/Viacom Radio Regional Park	The River Project
12163	Standby Wells	Foothill Municipal Water District
1562	Lincoln Park Lake Rehabilitation Project	City of Los Angeles; Dept. of Recreation and Parks
7582	Catch Basin Cover Phase III	City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Work
7797	Caltrans BMP's 210 Freeway	Caltrans/LADOT
7824	Caltrans BMP's 118 Freeway	Caltrans/LADOT
7831	Caltrans BMP's 405 Freeway	Caltrans/LADOT
7836	Caltrans BMP's 170 Freeway	Caltrans/LADOT
7861	Caltrans BMP's 101 Freeway	Caltrans/LADOT
7895	Caltrans BMP's 5 Freeway	Caltrans/LADOT
432	Headworks Wetlands	LADWP
1542	Aliso Canyon Park Stream Ecosystem Restoration	City of Los Angeles; Dept. of Recreation and Parks
1559	Stormwater Upgrades at LADRPâ€™s Valley Region Headquarters	City of Los Angeles; Dept. of Recreation and Parks
1744	Valley Glen Community Park Retrofit	The River Project
1745	Valley Glen Pocket Park and Swale Network	The River Project
771	LACDA Project - Stormwater Management Plan	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
204	Cudahy River Drive Beautification	City of Cudahy
224	Limekiln Debris Basin Wetland Corridor	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
407	Confluence Park 2	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority, Santa Monica Mountains Con
413	Environmental Education Camps on Angeles NF	School Districts, Grantors, ANF, Dept of Education

414	Equestrian BMPs in Arroyo Seco Watershed	Arroyo Seco Foundation
473	Pacoima Wash Greenway: 1st Street Park	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority, Santa Monica Mountains Con
474	Pacoima Wash Greenway: High School River Parkway	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority, Santa Monica Mountains Con
511	Watershed U.- Sun Valley	UC Cooperative Extension
1313	Doane Canyon River Outdoor Education Area	LA Trails Project
1316	NRCS Nursery Stock Project	LA Trails Project
1751	Education for Conservation in Tujunga Watershed	The River Project
1752	Equestrian BMPs in Tujunga Watershed	The River Project
1755	Tujunga Watershed Management Plan Implementation	The River Project
1756	Tujunga Ponds Habitat Enhancement & Educational Center	The River Project
1757	Watershed-U Tujunga	The River Project
213	Browns Creek SPS Enhancement	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
225	Lincoln SPS Multiuse Development	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
229	Los Angeles River Trash TMDL - Full Capture BMPs	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
235	Pacoima Wash Landscaping Enhancements	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
236	Pacoima Wash Pedestrian Access Bridge at 210 Freeway	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
242	Studios Network Greenway	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
247	Sun Valley Watershed - Tuxford Green Phase II Collection System Drain	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
250	Trash Removal Subregional Solution - Aliso Creek	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
251	Trash Removal Subregional Solution - Bull Creek	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
253	Trash Removal Subregional Solution - Pacoima Wash	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
254	Trash Removal Subregional Solution - Tujunga Central	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
255	Trash Removal Subregional Solution - Tujunga Wash	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
256	Tujunga Wash Greenway - Phase II	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
257	Tujunga Wash Greenway - Phase III	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
259	Verdugo Debris Basin Habitat Enhancement	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
265	Hansen Dam Water Conservation and Supply	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
400	Arroyo Seco Parkway (SR110) BMPs	Arroyo Seco Foundation
401	Arroyo Seco Watershed Restoration Feasibility Study	Coastal Conservancy
402	Arsenic Removal Los Angeles Aqueduct	LADWP

403	Boyle Heights Green Corridor	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority, Santa Monica Mountains Con
404	Brown Mountain Dam Removal	Arroyo Seco Foundation
405	Bull Creek-Los Angeles Reservoir Water Quality Improvement Project	LADWP
406	Centralized Groundwater Treatment - San Fernando Basin	LADWP
409	Decrease Impermeability in Arroyo Seco Watershed	Arroyo Seco Foundation
410	Dorris Place: Elysian Valley Water Quality & Open Space Project	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Sanitation and North East Trees
411	Education for Conservation in Arroyo Seco Watershed	Arroyo Seco Foundation
412	Elysain Reservoir Water Quality Improvement Project	LADWP
415	Flint Canyon Trail Restoration Project	City of La Canada Flintridge
416	Flint Wash Stream Restoration	Arroyo Seco Foundation
417	Granada Hills Reservoir Water Quality Improvement Project	LADWP
419	Hahamongna PWP Surface Water Treatment Plant	Arroyo Seco Foundation
420	Hahamongna Storm Drain Outlet BMPs	Arroyo Seco Foundation
421	Hahamongna Streamcourse Widening	Arroyo Seco Foundation
422	Hahamongna Water Conservation Pool	Arroyo Seco Foundation
423	Hahamongna West Side GW Recharge Basins	Arroyo Seco Foundation
424	Hansen Dam Parking Lot Rehabilitation	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority/ Santa Monica Mountains Con
425	Hansen II Water Recycling Project	LADWP
429	Hansen Tank	LADWP
430	Hazard Park Water Quality Enhancement Project	City of Los Angeles
431	Hazard Park Stream Restoration	North East Trees, Earth Island Institute, Coastal Conservancy, City of LA
433	Legion Lane Park	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
434	Lincoln SPS & Surrounding Streets	Arroyo Seco Foundation
435	Los Angeles Aqueduct Filtration Plant Enhanced Coagulation	LADWP
437	Los Angeles Reservoir North/South Water Quality Improvement Project	LADWP
438	Los Angeles River Greenway BMP Retrofits	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority, Santa Monica Mountains Con
439	Los Angeles River Revitalization Master Plan, OPPORTUNITY SITE # 1-Canoga Park	City of Los Angeles
440	Los Angeles River Revitalization Master Plan, OPPORTUNITY SITE # 11- Verdugo Industrial Green Park	City of Los Angeles

441	Los Angeles River Revitalization Master Plan, OPPORTUNITY SITE # 12- Taylor Yards	City of Los Angeles
442	Los Angeles River Revitalization Master Plan, OPPORTUNITY SITE # 13- Arroyo Seco Confluence	City of Los Angeles
443	Los Angeles River Revitalization Master Plan, OPPORTUNITY SITE # 14- Chinatown/Cornfields Area	City of Los Angeles
444	Los Angeles River Revitalization Master Plan, OPPORTUNITY SITE # 15- Mission Road Rail Yards	City of Los Angeles
445	Los Angeles River Revitalization Master Plan, OPPORTUNITY SITE # 16- Boyle Heights Connector	City of Los Angeles
446	Los Angeles River Revitalization Master Plan, OPPORTUNITY SITE # 17- Downtown Arts District	City of Los Angeles
447	Los Angeles River Revitalization Master Plan, OPPORTUNITY SITE # 18- Downtown Industrial Area	City of Los Angeles
448	Los Angeles River Revitalization Master Plan, OPPORTUNITY SITE # 19- Santa Fe Warehouse	City of Los Angeles
449	Los Angeles River Revitalization Master Plan, OPPORTUNITY SITE # 20- Sears/Crown Coach	City of Los Angeles
450	Los Angeles River Revitalization Master Plan, OPPORTUNITY SITE # 2- Reseda Boulevard	City of Los Angeles
451	Los Angeles River Revitalization Master Plan, OPPORTUNITY SITES# 3/4- Sepulveda Basin & Agricultural Area	City of Los Angeles
452	Los Angeles River Revitalization Master Plan, OPPORTUNITY SITE # 5- Studio City - Coldwater Canyon to Whitsett	City of Los Angeles
453	Los Angeles River Revitalization Master Plan, OPPORTUNITY SITE # 6- Tujunga Wash Confluence	City of Los Angeles
454	Los Angeles River Revitalization Master Plan, OPPORTUNITY SITE # 7-Ventura Boulevard	City of Los Angeles
455	Los Angeles River Revitalization Master Plan, OPPORTUNITY SITE # 8-Weddington Park	City of Los Angeles
456	Los Angeles River Revitalization Master Plan, OPPORTUNITY SITE # 9- Spreading Grounds	City of Los Angeles

457	Los Angeles River Revitalization Master Plan, OPPORTUNITY SITE # 10- Ferraro Fields	City of Los Angeles
458	Marsh Park	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority, Santa Monica Mountains Con
459	Mission Well Field Rehabilitation	LADWP
460	Mission Wells Ammoniation Station	LADWP
461	Modifications at LA-33	LADWP
462	Montecito Heights/ Debs Park	City of Los Angeles Potential partners: County of Los Angeles, North East
463	Moorpark Park	City of Los Angeles, County of Los Angeles
464	Mt. Olympus Acquisition	Arroyo Seco Foundation
465	North Atwater Creek Restoration & Park Expansion	City of Los Angeles, County of Los Angeles, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
466	North Branch Creek Daylighting in Sycamore Park	City of Los Angeles, County of Los Angeles, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
468	North Hollywood Well Field	LADWP
469	North Hollywood Wells Ammoniation Station	LADWP
470	Northeast Los Angeles Open Space	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority, Santa Monica Mountains Con
475	Pasadena Central Storm Drain Outlet BMPs	Arroyo Seco Foundation
476	Pasadena Central Streamcourse Restoration	Arroyo Seco Foundation
477	Pasadena Lower Storm Drain Outlet BMPs	Arroyo Seco Foundation
480	Pollock Wells Ammoniation Station	LADWP
485	Sepulveda IV Water Recycling Project	LADWP
486	Sheldon Pit	LADWP/County
487	Silverlake Reservoir Water Quality Improvement Project	LADWP
488	South Pasadena Alternative Streamcourse & BMPs	Arroyo Seco Foundation
489	South Pasadena Partial Channel Removal	Arroyo Seco Foundation
490	South Valley Water Recycling Project	LADWP
491	Stormwater BMPs in Arroyo Seco Watershed	Arroyo Seco Foundation
498	Tujunga Wells Ammoniation Station	LADWP
499	Upper Arroy Seco Barrier Removal	Arroyo Seco Foundation
501	Van Norman Chloramination Station 1	LADWP
502	Van Norman Chloramination Station 2	LADWP
508	WEST SAN FERNANDO VALLEY LINEAR RIVERFRONT PARKWAY	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
509	Woodbury Median Swale - Pilot Project	Arroyo Seco Foundation
1286	Altadena Crest Trail Restoration	Los Angeles County
1314	Wheatland Vista Trailhead	LA Trails Project
1317	Kagel-Little Tujunga-Big Tujunga Confluence Bank Restoration Project	LA Trails Project
1318	Indian Canyon/Lopez Landfill Trail HEad Wildlife Corridor	LA Trails Project

1319	Wildlife Waystation - Zoo Poo	LA Trails Project
1320	Olive View Edison Infiltration Demonstration Area	LA Trails Project
1321	Kagel Canyon Water Dsitrict El Merrie Dell Infiltration Area	LA Trials Project
1322	Lopez Canyon Greenwaste Facility Operation Conversion to Reclaimed Water	LA Trails Project/LADWP
1323	Sheldon Pit Water Transfer (Existing Project 235 & 276)	LACDPW
1324	Boulevard Pit Water Transfer	LADWP
1325	San Fernando Road Rail wtih Trail	LA Trails Project
1326	Big Tujunga Upland 123 Acres Graveyard Trail	LA Trails Project
1327	Haines Canyon Creek River Walk	LA Trails Project
1328	Wentworth Tunnel Sedimentation Overflow Diversion	LA Trails Project
1343	Outdoor Community Living Rooms	The Verde Coalition
1344	Community Gardens	Verde Coalition
1404	McCoy Creek bank expansion & riparian restoration	City Of Calabasas
1405	McCoy Creek concrete channel naturalization	City Of Calabasas
1408	McCoy Creek fish barrier removal	City Of Calabasas
1412	McCoy Creek pull back banks & restore wetlands along golf course	City Of Calabasas
1419	McCoy Creek 13-20 remove fish barriers, stabilize banks & restore wetlands	City Of Calabasas
1424	McCoy Creek create wetland @ parkway calabasas	City Of Calabasas
1425	McCoy Creek channel/bank erosion control w/ rock & willow	City Of Calabasas
1428	Dry Canyon Creek natural hydrology @ plant restoration	City Of Calabasas
1432	Dry Canyon Creek arundo eradication on west side of Old Topanga Rd.	City Of Calabasas
1433	Dry Canyon Creek flow reduction in Calabasas Channel	City Of Calabasas
1434	Dry Canyon Creek remove fish passage barrier	City Of Calabasas
1437	Dry Canyon Creek redesign culvert crossing	City Of Calabasas
1438	Dry Canyon Creek remove concrete channel and restore wetlands	City Of Calabasas
1479	Biomonitoring pilot project	LA Trails
1530	Chatsworth Park (South) Stormwater Enhancement (2)	City of Los Angeles; Dept. of Recreation and Parks
1532	Limekiln Canyon / Moonshine Canyon Restoration	City of Los Angeles; Dept. of Recreation and Parks
1536	Weddington Park Expansion (2)	City of Los Angeles; Dept. of Recreation and Parks

1539	Golf Course BMPs â€" Encino/Balboa Golf Courses (Sepulveda Basin)	City of Los Angeles; Dept. of Recreation and Parks
1557	Sycamore Grove	City of Los Angeles; Dept. of Recreation and Parks
1560	Golf Course BMPs â€" Wilson/Harding Golf Courses (Griffith Park)	City of Los Angeles; Dept. of Recreation and Parks
1561	Golf Course BMPs â€" Woodley Lakes Golf Course (Sepulveda Basin)	City of Los Angeles; Dept. of Recreation and Parks
1563	Golf Course BMPs â€" Los Feliz Golf Course	City of Los Angeles; Dept. of Recreation and Parks
1659	Rockwood Park	City of LA CD13
1665	Echo Park Minipark	City of LA CD13
1677	Arroyo de las Pasas daylighting	NA
1686	Los Angeles River watershed stream, spring and wetlands conservation easements	SMBRC
1688	Los Angeles River watershed floodplain acquisitions	SMBRC
1690	Stream Protection Ordinance Implementation	City of Los Angeles
1739	Rim of the Valley Trail Connection: Equestrian|/Pedestrian/ Bicycle	The River Project
1740	Transmission Line Easement Project	The River Project
1748	Sediment Gate Addition to Big Tujunga Dam	The River Project
1749	Sediment Gate Addition to Hansen Dam	The River Project
1750	Decrease Impermeability in Tujunga Watershed	The River Project
1753	Tujunga Watershed Freeway BMP's	The River Project
1754	Tujunga Watershed Arundo Removal	The River Project
1883	Los Angeles River Revitalization Master Plan-32 Mile Channel and EasementGreening	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
1933	Woodley Chase Open Space	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority
1959	San Gabriel Foothills Debris Basins - Los Angeles Loma Alta (4)	Altadena Foothills Conservancy proponent - LA County jurisdiction
3664	Aliso Wash-Limekiln Creek Confluence Restoration Project	City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works
7397	125 acres Tujunga Canyon Preserve	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council
7402	34 Acres Water Tower Canyon Creek	Sunland Tujunga Neighborhood Council
7410	5 Freeway Drainage Detention	Arleta Neighborhood Council
7413	"Achoicominga" Park	Tataviam
7424	Arleta Avenue Street Tree Improvement	Arleta Neighborhood Council
7428	Arleta Greenbelt	Arleta Neighborhood Council
7431	Arleta Neighborhood Retrofit	Arleta Neighborhood Council
7434	Beachy Avenue Linear Pocket Park	Arleta Neighborhood Council
7438	Big Tujunga Canyon Equestrian Connection	Sunland Tujunga Neighborhood Council
7442	Brand Park Retrofit	Mission Hills Neighborhood Council

7446	Branford Park Retrofit	Arleta Neighborhood Council
7904	Camp 16 Groundwater Well Installation	Forest Service
7917	Devonshire St. Pocket Park	Mission Hills Neighborhood Council
7924	East Riverwood Preserve	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council
8200	Foothill Bike Path and Median Planting	Pacoima Neighborhood Council
8217	Gain Street and Borden Ave Park	Pacoima Neighborhood Council
8231	Grace Community Church of the Valley Parking Retrofit	Arleta Neighborhood Council
8240	Haines Canyon Reservoir Habitat Restoration	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council
8250	Hansen Dam-SF Road Bike Path Connector	LA County Bike Coalition
8262	Hansen Lake and Dam Retrofit	Pacoima Neighborhood Council
8270	Hillhaven and Foothill Park	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council
8278	Lassen Street Radio Tower Park	Panorama City Neighborhood Council
8285	Laurel Canyon Bike Lane Extension	LA County Bike Coalition
8307	Mayall Street Pocket Park	Mission Hills Neighborhood Council
8314	Mission Hills Greenbelt	Mission Hills Neighborhood Council
8329	McGroarty Art Center Retrofit	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council
8343	MTA Parking Lot Retrofit	Pacoima Neighborhood Council
8368	N. Sepulveda Blvd Median Extension and Retrofit	Mission Hills Neighborhood Council
8380	Neighborhood Drainage Easement Naturalization	Mission Hills Neighborhood Council
8416	Oro Vista Outdoor Education Center	Private
8431	Outdoor Classroom/Native Plant Botanical Garden/Passive Recreation Park with Amphitheatre	Sun Valley Neighborhood Council
9045	Pacoima Median and Bike Trail	Pacoima Neighborhood Council
9049	Pacoima Neighborhood Retrofit	Pacoima Neighborhood Coucil
9052	Pacoima Pocket Park	Pacoima Neighborhood Council
9055	Pacoima Spreading Grounds Park	Arleta Neighborhood Council
9058	Pacoima Wash Bike and Pedestrian Paths	LA County Bike Coalition
9064	Ritchie Valens 3 (Paxton Park) Pacoima Wash Recreation Trail	City of L.A. Recreation and Parks
9069	Pacoima Wash Recreation Trail	Panorama City Neighborhood Council
9072	Panorama City Creek Restoration	Panorama City Neighborhood Council
9076	Panorama Recreational Center Retrofit	Panorama City Neighborhood Council
9079	Parking Lot Retrofits on Sepulveda Blvd	Mission Hills Neighborhood Council
9082	Parthenia Street Median Retrofit	Panorama City Neighborhood Council
9108	Recharging the Aquifer at L.A. Valley College	Resident
9114	Rowley Canyon Basin Retrofit and Channel Improvement	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council
9121	Samoa Ave Pocket Park	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council
9126	San Fernando Road Bike Trail	Sun Valley Neighborhood Council
9129	San Fernando Road/Bleeker/Truman Medians Improvements	Sylmar Neighborhood Council
9134	Sepulveda Recreation Center and Greenway Connection	City of L.A. Recreation and Parks

9137	Sheldon Street Pedestrian/Bike Trail/Swale	Sun Valley Neighborhood Council
9141	Sun Valley Greenbelt	Sun Valley Neighborhood Council
9144	Sunland Blvd Median	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council
9160	Sunland Neighborhood Church Retrofit	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council
9165	Sunland Park Retrofit	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council
9168	Sunland/Foothill Shopping Mall Greening	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council
9176	Sunland-Tujunga Street Flooding Analysis	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council
9179	"Tujunga" Tataviam Village Park	Tataviam
9188	Tujunga Canyon Road Pocket Park	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council
9192	Tujunga Oak Tree Pocket Park	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council
9336	Tujunga Wash Bike and Pedestrian Paths	LA County Bike Coalition
9340	Tujunga Wash Habitat Extension	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council
9343	Tujunga Wash Pedestrian and Bicycle Bridges	LA County Bike Coalition
9346	Tujunga Wash Pocket Park	Studio City Neighborhood Council
9349	Tujunga Wash Community Demonstration Garden	Bruce Woodside
9358	Van Nuys Blvd Pocket Parks	Panorama City Neighborhood Council
9364	Verdugo Hills High School Retrofit	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council
9368	Wilson Canyon Wash and Sylmar High School Retrofit	The River Project
9371	Woodman Ave Shopping Center Landscape Improvement	Arleta Neighborhood Council
9374	Woodman Ave Parking Lot Retrofit	Arleta Neighborhood Council
9377	Woodward Ave/Foothill Pocket Park	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council
9380	Wyngate Street Pocket Park	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council
9388	Zachau Canyon Basin Retrofit and Channel Improvement	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council
9392	Branford Recreation Center	City of L.A. Recreation and Parks
9395	Devonwood Park	City of L.A. Recreation and Parks
9398	Hansen Dam Wildlife Lake Improvement	City of L.A. Recreation and Parks
9401	Little Tujunga Channel Improvement	City of L.A. Recreation and Parks
9404	Little Van Nuys (Van Nuys Rec Ctr) Retrofit	City of L.A. Recreation and Parks
9407	McGroarty Park Retrofit	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council
9410	Moorpark Retrofit (McGroarty Preserve and Outdoor Classroom)	Studio City Neighborhood Council
9414	Soccer Field Flood Protection	City of L.A. Recreation and Parks
9417	Sylmar Park Retrofit	City of L.A. Recreation and Parks
9423	Valley College Trail and Swale Network	City of L.A. Recreation and Parks
9447	45 acres 8330 Mcgroarty	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council
9450	Devonwood Park Retrofit	Mission Hills Neighborhood Council
9468	Haines Channel Catch Basin	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council
9475	Big Tujunga Dam Operation and Maintenance Plan	Forest Service
9478	Little Tujunga Noxious Weed Eradication	Forest Service
9482	Pacoima Wash Greenway	Pacoima Neighborhood Council
9485	Pacoima Wash Greenway (may be same as proposed by Pacoima NC)	City of L.A. Recreation and Parks
9488	Existing Open Space	Pacoima Neighborhood Council
9504	Synthetic Turf Analysis for existing Parks	City of L.A. Recreation and Parks

9509	Verdugo Hills Erosion Control Study	The River Project
9513	Van Nuys Blvd Parking Lot Retrofit Guidelines	Panorama City Neighborhood Council
9521	Tujunga Wash Water Quality Project- Large Zones of Industrial Metal Plating Yards adjacent to Tujunga Wash/Hansen Spreading Grounds and Sheldon Gravel Pit.	Sun Valley Neighborhood Council
9524	Tujunga Wash Passive Recreation Park	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council
9527	Tujunga Wash Equestrian Trails	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Council
9532	Tujunga Spreading Ground Expansion	Sun Valley Neighborhood Council
9536	Sunland-Tujunga Neighborhood Retrofit Study	The River Project
9539	Stanwin Community Park	Arleta Neighborhood Council
9544	San Fernando Road (North) Swale, Rail/Trail, and Rail ROW	Sun Valley Neighborhood Council
9547	Panorama Park Retrofit	Panorama City Neighborhood Council
9550	Panorama City Neighborhood Drainage Channel Retrofit	Panorama City Neighborhood Council
9554	Pacoima Wash Trash Prevention	Panorama City Neighborhood Council
10470	Invasive Plant Removal and Maintenance of Endangered Arroyo Toad Habitat	Forest Service
10474	Hansen Dam Golf Course	Pacoima Neighborhood Council
10480	Hansen Dam Park Flooding Improvement	City of L.A. Recreation and Parks
10485	Ritchie Valens Park Retrofit	City of L.A. Recreation and Parks
10492	Roger Jessup Park Expansion	City of L.A. Recreation and Parks
10500	Valley Glen Community Park (Erwin Park) Retrofit	City of L.A. Recreation and Parks
10505	Hansen Dam Golf Course (#2)	City of L.A. Recreation and Parks
11496	Arroyo Seco-Los Angeles River Confluence Restoration	Arroyo Seco Foundation
11562	Oro Vista Corridor	Sunland Tujunga Neighborhood Council
11913	Charles White Multi-Benefit Project	LA County Parks and Recreation
12052	Greenway Network of Altadena Community within Arroyo Seco Watershed	Los Angeles County Department of Parks and Recreation
12394	Red Car Park Gateway	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering
12425	Art District River Promenade	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering

Regional Score	Sub-reg As Voted Score	Reg + Sub-reg Total	Readiness to Proceed	Rank
80	38	118	14.29%	1
70	41	111	64.29%	2
60	41	101	71%	3
50	38	88	50%	4
50	38	88	64%	5
50	37	87	50.00%	6
40	44	84	29%	7
40	44	84	21%	8
40	41	81	100%	9
40	41	81	41.67%	10
45	34	79	38%	11
45	34	79	21.43%	12
40	38	78	14%	13
40	38	78	64%	14
45	32	77	35.71%	15
45	32	77	28.57%	16
40	36	76	21%	17
40	34	74	7%	18
40	34	74	17%	19
45	29	74	50.00%	20
30	41	71	64%	21
30	40	70	28.57%	22
35	34	69	28.57%	23
30	38	68	7%	24
40	28	68	33%	25
30	38	68	14.29%	26

25	42	67	43%	27
40	26	66	35.71%	28
20	43	63	0.00%	29
40	19	59	57%	30
40	19	59	85.71%	31
25	34	59	21.43%	32
25	34	59	28.57%	33
25	34	59	35.71%	34
25	34	59	92.86%	35
25	34	59	28.57%	36
25	34	59	28.57%	37
30	28	58	35.71%	38
25	32	57	42.86%	39
25	31	56	43%	40
40	16	56	28.57%	41
20	33	53	83%	42
30	23	53	0.00%	43
10	43	53	50.00%	44
20	32	52	57%	45
40	12	52	78.57%	46
10	40	50	75.00%	47
20	30	50	0.00%	48
30	19	49	62.86%	49
10	38	48	50.00%	50
20	27	47	21%	51
15	31	46	50%	52
30	16	46	42.86%	53
0	46	46	21.43%	54
15	30	45	100%	55
10	35	45	21%	56
0	44	44	0.00%	57
20	24	44	0.00%	58

20	22	42	7.14%	59
20	22	42	0.00%	60
20	21	41	43%	61
0	41	41	7%	62
25	16	41	42.86%	63
0	41	41	62.50%	64
0	41	41	0.00%	65
0	41	41	14.29%	66
20	20	40	0.00%	67
0	40	40	0.00%	68
10	30	40	7.14%	69
20	19	39	21%	70
20	19	39	0.00%	71
20	19	39	50.00%	72
0	38	38	0.00%	73
0	38	38	14.29%	74
0	37	37	0.00%	75
0	37	37	0.00%	76
10	26	36	0.00%	77
0	35	35	71.43%	78
10	25	35	0.00%	79
0	34	34	0.00%	80
10	24	34	0.00%	81
10	24	34	0.00%	82
0	32	32	67%	83
10	22	32	41.67%	84
0	32	32	0.00%	85
15	16	31	42.86%	86
20	10	30	0.00%	87
10	19	29	57%	88
20	7	27	0.00%	89
10	16	26	0%	90
10	15	25	21.43%	91
0	24	24	83.33%	92
0	22	22	83.33%	93
10	12	22	0.00%	94
0	22	22	0.00%	95
20	0	20	35.71%	96

20	0	20	35.71%	97
20	0	20	35.71%	98
20	0	20	0.00%	99
20	0	20	0.00%	100
20	0	20	0.00%	101
20	0	20	0.00%	102
20	0	20	28.57%	103
0	19	19	0.00%	104
0	18	18	7.14%	105
0	18	18	0.00%	106
0	18	18	0.00%	107
0	18	18	0.00%	108
0	18	18	0.00%	109
0	18	18	0.00%	110
0	18	18	0.00%	111
0	18	18	0.00%	112
0	18	18	0.00%	113
0	18	18	0.00%	114
0	18	18	0.00%	115
0	18	18	0.00%	116
0	16	16	0.00%	117
0	16	16	0.00%	118
0	15	15	75.00%	119
0	15	15	0.00%	120
0	15	15	0.00%	121
0	15	15	0.00%	122
0	15	15	0.00%	123
0	15	15	0.00%	124
0	15	15	0.00%	125
0	12	12	28.57%	126
0	12	12	16.67%	127
10	0	10	21.43%	128

10	0	10	21.43%	129
10	0	10	21.43%	130
10	0	10	21.43%	131
10	0	10	35.71%	132
10	0	10	35.71%	133
10	0	10	21.43%	134
10	0	10	35.71%	135
10	0	10	35.71%	136
10	0	10	35.71%	137
10	0	10	35.71%	138
10	0	10	0.00%	139
0	9	9	0.00%	140
5	2	7	35.71%	141
0	7	7	25.00%	142
0	7	7	0.00%	143
0	7	7	0.00%	144
0	7	7	0.00%	145
0	7	7	0.00%	146
0	7	7	0.00%	147
0	7	7	0.00%	148
0	5	5	29%	149
5	0	5	21.43%	150
5	0	5	21.43%	151
5	0	5	0.00%	152
5	0	5	0.00%	153
0	4	4	17%	154
0	2	2	7%	155
0	2	2	14%	156
0	2	2	21%	157
0	2	2	7%	158

0	2	2	0%	159
0	2	2	7%	160
0	2	2	21%	161
0	2	2	0%	162
0	2	2	0.00%	163
0	2	2	0.00%	164
0	2	2	0.00%	165
0	2	2	0.00%	166
0	2	2	0.00%	167
0	2	2	0.00%	168
0	2	2	0.00%	169
0	0	0	7%	170
0	0	0	14%	171
0	0	0	36%	172
0	0	0	14%	173
0	0	0	14%	174
0	0	0	14%	175
0	0	0	43%	176
0	0	0	21%	177
0	0	0	21%	178
0	0	0	21%	179
0	0	0	21%	180
0	0	0	21%	181
0	0	0	21%	182
0	0	0	21%	183
0	0	0	14%	184
0	0	0	14%	185
0	0	0	0%	186
0	0	0	21%	187
0	0	0	21%	188

0	0	0	7%	189
0	0	0	0%	190
0	0	0	21%	191
0	0	0	7%	192
0	0	0	0%	193
0	0	0	7%	194
0	0	0	0%	195
0	0	0	21%	196
0	0	0	43%	197
0	0	0	0%	198
0	0	0	21%	199
0	0	0	0%	200
0	0	0	0%	201
0	0	0	0%	202
0	0	0	0%	203
0	0	0	0%	204
0	0	0	21%	205
0	0	0	21%	206
0	0	0	36%	207
0	0	0	21%	208
0	0	0	21%	209
0	0	0	7%	210
0	0	0	0%	211
0	0	0	7%	212
0	0	0	21%	213
0	0	0	7%	214
0	0	0	7%	215
0	0	0	7%	216

0	0	0	7%	217
0	0	0	7%	218
0	0	0	7%	219
0	0	0	7%	220
0	0	0	7%	221
0	0	0	7%	222
0	0	0	7%	223
0	0	0	7%	224
0	0	0	7%	225
0	0	0	7%	226
0	0	0	7%	227
0	0	0	7%	228
0	0	0	7%	229
0	0	0	7%	230
0	0	0	7%	231
0	0	0	7%	232

0	0	0	7%	233
0	0	0	36%	234
0	0	0	36%	235
0	0	0	21%	236
0	0	0	7%	237
0	0	0	7%	238
0	0	0	7%	239
0	0	0	0%	240
0	0	0	21%	241
0	0	0	21%	242
0	0	0	21%	243
0	0	0	21%	244
0	0	0	7%	245
0	0	0	0%	246
0	0	0	0%	247
0	0	0	0%	248
0	0	0	21%	249
0	0	0	7%	250
0	0	0	21%	251
0	0	0	14%	252
0	0	0	0%	253
0	0	0	0%	254
0	0	0	21%	255
0	0	0	0%	256
0	0	0	21%	257
0	0	0	0%	258
0	0	0	7%	259
0	0	0	7%	260
0	0	0	36%	261
0	0	0	0%	262
0	0	0	21.43%	263
0	0	0	0.00%	264
0	0	0	0.00%	265
0	0	0	0.00%	266

0	0	0	0.00%	267
0	0	0	0.00%	268
0	0	0	0.00%	269
0	0	0	0.00%	270
0	0	0	0.00%	271
0	0	0	0.00%	272
0	0	0	0.00%	273
0	0	0	0.00%	274
0	0	0	0.00%	275
0	0	0	0.00%	276
0	0	0	0.00%	277
0	0	0	0.00%	278
0	0	0	0.00%	279
0	0	0	0.00%	280
0	0	0	0.00%	281
0	0	0	0.00%	282
0	0	0	0.00%	283
0	0	0	0.00%	284
0	0	0	0.00%	285
0	0	0	0.00%	286
0	0	0	0.00%	287
0	0	0	0.00%	288
0	0	0	0.00%	289
0	0	0	0.00%	290
0	0	0	0.00%	291
0	0	0	21.43%	292
0	0	0	35.71%	293
0	0	0	21.43%	294
0	0	0	21.43%	295

0	0	0	21.43%	296
0	0	0	0.00%	297
0	0	0	21.43%	298
0	0	0	35.71%	299
0	0	0	35.71%	300
0	0	0	35.71%	301
0	0	0	0.00%	302
0	0	0	0.00%	303
0	0	0	0.00%	304
0	0	0	0.00%	305
0	0	0	0.00%	306
0	0	0	0.00%	307
0	0	0	0.00%	308
0	0	0	0.00%	309
0	0	0	0.00%	310
0	0	0	0.00%	311
0	0	0	0.00%	312
0	0	0	0.00%	313
0	0	0	7.14%	314
0	0	0	0.00%	315
0	0	0	7.14%	316
0	0	0	16.67%	317
0	0	0	0.00%	318
0	0	0	0.00%	319
0	0	0	0.00%	320
0	0	0	0.00%	321
0	0	0	0.00%	322
0	0	0	0.00%	323
0	0	0	0.00%	324
0	0	0	0.00%	325
0	0	0	0.00%	326
0	0	0	0.00%	327

0	0	0	0.00%	328
0	0	0	0.00%	329
0	0	0	0.00%	330
0	0	0	0.00%	331
0	0	0	0.00%	332
0	0	0	0.00%	333
0	0	0	0.00%	334
0	0	0	0.00%	335
0	0	0	0.00%	336
0	0	0	0.00%	337
0	0	0	0.00%	338
0	0	0	0.00%	339
0	0	0	0.00%	340
0	0	0	0.00%	341
0	0	0	0.00%	342
0	0	0	0.00%	343
0	0	0	0.00%	344
0	0	0	0.00%	345
0	0	0	0.00%	346
0	0	0	0.00%	347
0	0	0	0.00%	348
0	0	0	0.00%	349
0	0	0	0.00%	350
0	0	0	0.00%	351
0	0	0	0.00%	352
0	0	0	0.00%	353
0	0	0	0.00%	354
0	0	0	0.00%	355
0	0	0	0.00%	356
0	0	0	0.00%	357
0	0	0	0.00%	358
0	0	0	0.00%	359
0	0	0	0.00%	360
0	0	0	0.00%	361
0	0	0	0.00%	362
0	0	0	0.00%	363
0	0	0	0.00%	364
0	0	0	0.00%	365

0	0	0	0.00%	366
0	0	0	0.00%	367
0	0	0	0.00%	368
0	0	0	0.00%	369
0	0	0	0.00%	370
0	0	0	0.00%	371
0	0	0	0.00%	372
0	0	0	0.00%	373
0	0	0	0.00%	374
0	0	0	0.00%	375
0	0	0	0.00%	376
0	0	0	0.00%	377
0	0	0	0.00%	378
0	0	0	0.00%	379
0	0	0	0.00%	380
0	0	0	0.00%	381
0	0	0	0.00%	382
0	0	0	0.00%	383
0	0	0	0.00%	384
0	0	0	0.00%	385
0	0	0	0.00%	386
0	0	0	0.00%	387
0	0	0	0.00%	388
0	0	0	0.00%	389
0	0	0	0.00%	390
0	0	0	0.00%	391
0	0	0	0.00%	392
0	0	0	0.00%	393
0	0	0	0.00%	394
0	0	0	0.00%	395
0	0	0	0.00%	396
0	0	0	0.00%	397
0	0	0	0.00%	398
0	0	0	0.00%	399
0	0	0	0.00%	400
0	0	0	0.00%	401
0	0	0	0.00%	402
0	0	0	0.00%	403
0	0	0	0.00%	404
0	0	0	0.00%	405
0	0	0	0.00%	406
0	0	0	0.00%	407

0	0	0	0.00%	408
0	0	0	0.00%	409
0	0	0	0.00%	410
0	0	0	0.00%	411
0	0	0	0.00%	412
0	0	0	0.00%	413
0	0	0	0.00%	414
0	0	0	0.00%	415
0	0	0	0.00%	416
0	0	0	0.00%	417
0	0	0	0.00%	418
0	0	0	0.00%	419
0	0	0	0.00%	420
0	0	0	0.00%	421
0	0	0	0.00%	422
0	0	0	0.00%	423
0	0	0	0.00%	424
0	0	0	0.00%	425
0	0	0	0.00%	426
0	0	0	0.00%	427
0	0	0	0.00%	428
0	0	0	14.29%	429
0	0	0	7.14%	430
0	0	0	0.00%	431
0	0	0	0.00%	432

Ready to Proceed
Possibly Ready to Proceed
Not Ready to Proceed

Rank	ProjectId	ProjectTitle	Agency
			Weightings As Voted
1	1292	Boulevard Pit Stormwater Capture Project	LADWP
2	12965	Tujunga Spreading Grounds Enhancement Project	LADWP
3	500	Valley Generating Station Stormwater Recharge Project	LADWP
4	418	Hahamonga Basin Multi-Use Project	Arroyo Seco Foundation
5	478	Pasadena Lower Arroyo Stream Restoration	Arroyo Seco Foundation
6	5121	Central Los Angeles County - Regional Water Recycling Program	Glendale Water and Power
7	436	Arroyo Seco Channel and Park Naturalization	Arroyo Seco Foundation
8	467	North Branch Stream Daylighting	Arroyo Seco Foundation
9	426	Hansen Spreading Grounds Basin Improvements	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
10	1329	Hansen Dam Grasslands/Walnut Woodland Restoration Raptor Hunting Ground	LA Trails Project
11	484	San Gabriel Foothills Land Conservation (West Altadena)	Altadena Foothills Conservancy
12	1305	Haines Debris Basin Habitat Restoration	LA Trails Project
13	246	Sun Valley Watershed - Tujunga Wash Diversion Project	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
14	481	Sun Valley Powerline Easement Groundwater Recharge Project	LADWP
15	1893	Browns Canyon Wash at Plummer and Variel	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority
16	1925	Aliso and Limekiln Creeks at Vanalden	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority
17	408	Crescenta Valley County Park Multiuse Project	Crescenta Valley Water District
18	212	Brookside Area Channel Naturalization	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
19	471	Pacoima Spreading Grounds Improvements	Los Angeles County Flood Control District

20	1890	Brown's Canyon Wash at Route 118 and Rinaldi	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority
21	495	Woodman Ave. Multi-Beneficial Stormwater Capture Project	LADWP
22	1308	Headwaters Corner at Calabasas	City of Calabasas and Mountains Restoration Trust
23	1922	Santa Susana Creek at MTA Corridor on Canoga Avenue	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority
24	230	Lower Arroyo Park Channel Naturalization	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
25		Deleted- duplicate of #12965	
26	1774	Community Native Plant Rescue Nursery	Ricky Grubb
27	245	Sun Valley Watershed - Strathern Pit Multiuse	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
28	1285	Millard Creek Protection/Restoration	Altadena Foothills Conservancy
29	8816	Urban Interpreters for Environmental Education Program	Resource Conservation District of the Santa Monica Mountains
30	228	Los Angeles River Headwaters, Phase I	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
31	1482	Reclamation Equalization Basin	City of Burbank
32	1923	Arroyo Calabasas at Fallbrook and Hatteras	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority
33	1924	Arroyo Calabasas at Ventura Boulevard	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority
34	1926	Aliso Canyon and Los Angeles River Confluence	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority
35	1931	Bell Creek Riverfront Natural Park	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority
36	1932	Lederer Ranch	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority
37	13692	San Gabriel Foothills Land Conservation (Chaney Trail to Canon)	Altadena Foothills Conservancy
38	10211	SC LA River Open Space	City of Los Angeles
39	1898	Santa Susana Creek at Topanga Canyon and Plummer	Mountains Recreation and Conservation Authority
40	258	Tujunga Wash Restoration Project Section 1135	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
41	1315	Equestrian Facilities BMP Education Outreach	LA Trails Project
42	133	Big Tujunga Dam San Fernando Basin Groundwater Enhancement Project	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
43	5463	Devil's Gate Water Conservation Project	Los Angeles County Flood Control District
44	13336	Upper Arroyo Seco Stream Sustainability Project	Arroyo Seco Foundation
45	493	Confluence Gateway Greenway Program	Arroyo Seco Foundation

46	1481	Groundwater Replenishment Project	City of Burbank
47	3530	Cesar Chavez Recreation Complex	City of Los Angeles, Department of Public Works
48	8637	Taylor Yard River Park -Parcel G-2	City of Los Angeles, Bureau of Engineering

Cost	Reg + Sub-reg Total	Readiness to Proceed
	118	14%
$22M	111	64%
$4-10M depending on attributes ($2.5M- onsite storm flows capture; $6.5M- utilize gravel pit)	101	71%
$26M	88	50%
	88	64%
	87	50%
	84	29%
	84	21%
Phase 1a underway; Phase 1a - $8-12; Phase 1b - $2M; Phase 2 - Open space, $4M	81	100%
feasibility study - grant app $ not clear	81	42%
land acquisition project (ineligible for 84)	79	38%
	79	21%
must aquire sheldon pit first	78	14%
$6-12M	78	64%
	77	36%
	77	29%
	76	21%
	74	7%
$9-13M	74	17%

	74	50%
$1.8M	71	64%
Phase 2 ready to go - $150k	70	29%
	69	29%
	68	7%
	68	14%
$15-$20M construction	67	43%
land acquisition project (ineligible for 84)	66	36%
	63	0%
$5-10M	59	57%
	59	86%
	59	21%
	59	29%
	59	36%
	59	93%
	59	29%
	59	29%
	58	36%
	57	43%
$5-7M	56	43%
	56	29%
~$32M	53	83%
$12-16M	53	0%
$1.5M	53	50%
Phase 1 - $2.3M	52	57%

	52	79%
	50	75%
	50	0%